

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.msyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,041	09/05/2000	Leonard Pinchuk	BSI-430US8	9622	
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 06/19/200 STLA	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 980		SEVERSON, RYAN J			
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/657,041	PINCHUK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ryan J. Severson	3731		

	Ryan J. Severson	3731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendament, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire is Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I. (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		,	,					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided in the state of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-30. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 40-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	oplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/Ryan J. Severson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues Lentz fails to teach the "delescopically slideable" limitation as claimed. However, it is the Examiner's position that the "supportive leg components" (stents 28) of Lentz telescopically slide into the folded cuffs. Examiner can see no other manner in which the stents can be placed within the cuffs if they are not telescopically slidable. Therefore, with this teaching, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the stents of Lentz could be used to support the leg components of the combination of Rhodes and Herweck et al. by sliding the stents within the ends of the leg components. Therefore, the arguments are not deemed persuasive, and the rejection is maintained.